UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/564,956 | 12/05/2007 | Takashi Namari | 053547 | 5396 | |
| | 7590 08/20/200 , HATTORI, DANIEL | EXAMINER | | | |
| 1250 CONNECTICUT AVÉNUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | | HAMAOUI, DAVID E | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3747 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/20/2009 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/564,956 | NAMARI ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| DAVID HAMAOUI | 3747 | |

| | DAVID HAMAOUI | 3747 | |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | rs on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>22 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on t application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth i er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL | n. LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of externing the period of the short in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | nsion and the corresponding amount correned statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complication of the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second content of the content | sideration and/or search (see NOT r); er form for appeal by materially red orresponding number of finally reje | E below); lucing or simplifying th | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | See attached Notice of Non-Cor wable if submitted in a separate, t will not be entered, or b) | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | hoforo or on the data of filing a No | itics of Appeal will not | he entered |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | sufficient reasons why the affidavi | t or other evidence is | necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | , | condition for allowand | ce pecause: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (F13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Stephen K. Cronin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747 | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: claims 8 - 11, which previously depended from claim 6 now depend from the combination of claims 6 and 7, and have thus changed in scope.